

State of Utah

Department of **Natural Resources**

MICHAEL R STYLER Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

> JOHN R. BAZA Division Director

JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR. Governor

> GARY R. HERBERT Lieutenant Governor

> > December 18, 2006

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7002 0510 0003 8603 3851

Paul Lamoreaux Alpine Gem and Minerals 195 North 200 East P.O. Box 610 Parowan, Utah 84761

Subject: Reassessment for Cessation Order MC-2006-02-01, Alpine Gem and Minerals, Eastside # 1 Mine, M0530078, Washington County, Utah

Dear Mr. Lamoreaux:

The proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced cessation order was sent to you on April 3, 2006. At that time the abatement had not been completed and some of the facts surrounding the violation were not available. In accordance with rule R647-7-105, the penalty is to be reassessed when it is necessary to consider facts, which were not reasonably available on the date of the issuance of the proposed assessment. Now that the Cessation Order has been terminated the assessment can be completed. Following is the reassessment of the penalty for the cessation order:

> MC06-02-01 Violation 1 of 1 \$1,210

The enclosed worksheet specifically outlines how the violation was assessed. You should note that good faith points have now been awarded.

Under R647-7-106, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

If you wish to informally appeal the fact of the Cessation Order, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director, Associate Director or assigned conference officer. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalty.

Paul Lamoreaux M0530078 December 20, 2006 Page 2 of 6

2. If you wish to review the proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph one, the assessment conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of the cessation order will stand, the proposed penalty(ies) will become final, and the penalty(ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the reassessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Vickie Southwick.

Sincerely,

Daron R. Haddock Assessment Officer

an Haddock

Enclosure: Worksheets

cc: Vickie Southwick, Exec. Sec. Vicki Bailey, Accounting

P:\GROUPS\MINERALS\WP\M053-Washington\S0530078-EastSide1\Non-Compliance\Reassessment-CO.doc

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING Minerals Regulatory Program

COMI	PANY /	MINE	Alpine Gems & Minerals/Eastside #1 Mine	_PERMIT <u>M0530078</u>		
NOV	/ CO #	MC-2	2006-02-01	VIOLATION <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>		
REAS	SESSM	IENT D	DATEDecember 18, 2006			
ASSE	SSMEN	NT OFF	ICER Daron R. Haddock			
I.	HIST	ORY (Max. 25 pts.) (R647–7-103.2.11)			
	A.		here previous violations, which are not pendin (3) years of today's date?	g or vacated, which fall within		
	PREV	IOUS V	VIOLATIONS EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS (1pt for NOV 5pts for CO)		
		none		_		
			TOTA	AL HISTORY POINTS 0		
II.	SERIO	OUSNI	ESS (Max 45pts) (R647-7-103.2.12)			
	NOTE:		For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following apply:			
		1.	Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the determine within each category where the v			
		2.	Beginning at the mid-point of the category, adjust the points up or down, utilizing the instatements as guiding documents.			
			an EVENT (A) or Administrative (B) violating points according to A or B)	on? <u>Event</u>		
	A. <u>EVENT VIOLATION</u> (Max 45 pts.)					
		1.	What is the event which the violated standar	rd was designed to prevent?		

2. What is the probability of the occurrence of the event which a violated standard was designed to prevent?

PROBABILITY	<u>RANGE</u>
None	0
Unlikely	1-9
Likely	10-19
Occurred	20

ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS 20

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

*** An Operator is required to obtain a permit from the Division of Oil Gas and Mining prior to conducting mining operations. Approximately ¼ acre has been disturbed and excavated at this location without acquiring the permit to do so. The Operator has other operations under permit by DOGM, but failed to get this one permitted. Disturbance has actually occurred.

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage?

RANGE 0-25

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

ASSIGN	DAMAGI	E POINTS	8
ASSIGN	DAMAGI	POINTS	0

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

*** The inspector stated that the operator has disturbed approximately 1/4 acres of land that had not been approved for disturbance. The damage was the loss of vegetation and soil resources from the area disturbed. Further discussion with the inspector revealed that the damage is probably temporary. While the soil and vegetation have been disturbed, the site could still be reclaimed. Damage is assessed in the lower 1/3 of the range.

- B. ADMINISTRATIVE VIOLATIONS (Max 25pts)

Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS _____

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 28

III. <u>DEGREE OF FAULT</u> (Max 30 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.13)

A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, the failure to abate any violation due to the same or was economic gain realized by the permittee? IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

No Negligence 0
Negligence 1-15
Greater Degree of Fault 16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Negligence

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 8

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

*** The inspector indicated that the violation was the result of the Operator being indifferent to the DOGM regulations. The Operator had permits for other areas but not for this one. Approximately 1/4 acre of disturbance has occurred. This indicates indifference to the rules or misunderstanding of the rules. A prudent operator would understand the need to obtain approval prior to disturbing an area. The Operator was negligent in this regard, thus the assignment of points in the middle part of the negligence range.

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.) (R467-7-103.2.14)

(Either A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

A. Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?

IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

• Immediate Compliance -11 to -20*

(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)

• Rapid Compliance -1 to -10

(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

Normal Compliance

(Operator complied within the abatement period required) (Operator complied with condition and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

- *Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.
- B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

- Rapid Compliance -11 to -20*
 (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)
 - Normal Compliance -1 to -10*

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

• Extended Compliance 0

(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete)

(Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT? <u>Easy</u>

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS _-5

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

*** The operator was given two different options for abatement of this Cessation Order. He ultimately chose option #2, which involved reclamation of the entire disturbance. This is considered an easy abatement since no specific plans were required. The Operator had to secure seed in order to do the reclamation work and he did work closely with the inspector to complete the required reclamation within the allotted timeframe, although the abatement had to be extended a couple of times to allow for the proper seeding window. For this reason I conclude that the Operator had to use some diligence in an easy abatement situation and I am awarding 5 good faith points

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY (R647-7-103.3)

NO	05(1)	
I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	0
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	28
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	8
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	- 5
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	31
	TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$ 1.210